arXiv:1702.07892v1 [math.GT] 25 Feb 2017

ON DIMENSIONS SUPPORTING A RATIONAL PROJECTIVE PLANE

LEE KENNARD AND ZHIXU SU

Abstract. A rational projective plane ($\mathbb{QP}^2$) is a simply connected, smooth, closed manifold M such that $H^*(M;\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]/\langle\alpha^3\rangle$. An open problem is to classify the dimensions at which such a manifold exists. The Barge–Sullivan rational surgery realization theorem provides necessary and sufficient conditions that include the Hattori–Stong integrality conditions on the Pontryagin numbers. In this article, we simplify these conditions and combine them with the signature equation to give a single quadratic residue equation that determines whether a given dimension supports a $\mathbb{QP}^2$. We then confirm existence of a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in two new dimensions and prove several non-existence results using factorizations of numerators of divided Bernoulli numbers. We also resolve the existence question in the Spin case, and we discuss existence results for the more general class of rational projective spaces.

The rank one symmetric spaces given by the complex projective plane $\mathbb{CP}^2$, the quaternionic projective plane $\mathbb{HP}^2$, and the Cayley plane $\mathbb{OP}^2$ have the property of being simply connected, closed, smooth manifolds M with cohomology ring isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/\langle\alpha^3\rangle$. These examples exist in dimensions 4, 8, and 16 respectively. By Adams' resolution of the Hopf invariant one problem, no other dimension supports such a manifold (see [Ada60]). In fact, Adams' proof also covers mod 2 projective planes, i.e., manifolds as above with the property that $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[\alpha]/\langle\alpha^3\rangle$. Mod p analogues for odd primes p can exist in more dimensions, but again there are only finitely many possible dimensions due to work of Liulevicius, Shimada, and Yamanoshita on the mod p Hopf invariant one problem (see [Liu62, SY61]).

By analogy, a rational projective plane (denoted $\mathbb{QP}^2$) is a simply connected, closed, smooth manifold M with rational cohomology ring isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]/\langle\alpha^3\rangle$. The dimensions at which such a manifold exist are not yet classified. The second author began work on this question and proved that a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ exists in dimension 32 but not in any other dimension less than 32 aside from 4, 8, and 16 (see [Su14]). It was also shown that a necessary condition for the existence of a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in dimensions $n > 4$ is that $n = 8k$ for some k. Fowler and the second author showed further that k must be of the form $2^a + 2^b$, and that no $\mathbb{QP}^2$ exists in dimensions $32 < n < 128$ and $128 < n < 256$.

Theorem A. *A $\mathbb{QP}^2$ exists in dimension $n \leq 512$ if and only if $n \in \{4, 8, 16, 32, 128, 256\}$. Moreover, no $\mathbb{QP}^2$ exists in any dimension $512 < n < 2^{13}$, except for five possible exceptions, $n \in \{544, 1024, 2048, 4160, 4352\}$*

The approach is by rational surgery, as in [Su14, FS16]. By the rational surgery realization theorem of Barge and Sullivan, the existence of a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in a particular dimension is equivalent to the existence of formal Pontryagin classes that satisfy the Hirzebruch signature equation and the Hattori–Stong integrality conditions (see [Su14], cf. [Bar76, Sul77]). The main step

Date: February 28, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R20 (Primary), 57R65, 57R67, 57R15 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. rational projective planes, characteristic classes, rational surgery realization.

in this article is to show that two of the Hattori–Stong integrality conditions are sufficient to imply the others (see Section 2). We then prove that the signature equation and these two integrality conditions are equivalent to a single quadratic residue equation (see Section 3). In Sections 4 and 5, we apply these simplifications to answer the existence question for $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in all but five dimensions less than 2^{13}, and in particular to prove Theorem A.

Section 5 also contains general non-existence results. They rely on Kummer's congruences and some data about irregular prime factors of numerators of divided Bernoulli numbers. The results provide new infinite families of dimensions that do not support a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ (see Section 5 for precise families of dimensions obstructed).

Theorem B. *There are infinitely many dimensions of the form $n = 2^a$, and infinitely many dimensions of the form $n = 8(2^a + 2^b)$ with $a \neq b$, that do not support the existence of a $\mathbb{QP}^2$.*

As mentioned above, Fowler and the second author proved that no $\mathbb{QP}^2$ exists in a dimension not of the form 2^a or $8(2^a + 2^b)$ for some $a \neq b$ (see [FS16]). It remains an open question whether infinitely many dimensions support rational projective planes.

We specialize in Section 6 to the Spin case, and we classify the dimensions that support a Spin $\mathbb{QP}^2$. Note that $\mathbb{HP}^2$ and $\mathbb{OP}^2$ are examples in dimensions 8 and 16.

Theorem C. *A Spin $\mathbb{QP}^2$ exists in dimension n if and only if $n \in \{8, 16\}$.*

The necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of Spin $\mathbb{QP}^2$ are analogous to the smooth case except the Spin Hattori–Stong integrality conditions involve the $\hat{A}$–genus instead of $\mathcal{L}$–genus. The obstructions coming from the signature equation, integrality of $\hat{A}$–genus, and one of the Spin Hattori–Stong conditions are sufficient to prove no Spin $\mathbb{QP}^2$ exists in dimensions $n > 16$.

Finally, we discuss existence questions for rational projective spaces. Extending the notation above, let $\mathbb{QP}^n_d$ denote a simply connected, smooth, closed manifold in dimension dn with rational cohomology isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]/\langle\alpha^{n+1}\rangle$, $|\alpha| = d$. For example, a $\mathbb{QP}^2_8$ is a rational Cayley plane. The main existence result we prove in Section 7 is the following.

Theorem D. *If a $\mathbb{QP}^2_{4k}$ exists, then a $\mathbb{QP}^{2m}_{4k/m}$ exists whenever $4k/m \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.*

We illustrate this theorem with some examples:

I. By Theorems A and D, higher dimensional analogues $\mathbb{QP}^n_8$ of rational Cayley planes exist for $n \in \{4, 16, 32\}$. Note that $\mathbb{QP}^n_8$ exist for all odd n (see [FS16]).
II. No $\mathbb{QP}^2_{32}$ exists, however there exist higher dimensional analogues, $\mathbb{QP}^4_{32}$ and $\mathbb{QP}^8_{32}$.

In light of the last example, it may be asked whether every power of two can be realized as the degree d of a $\mathbb{QP}^n_d$ for some $n \geq 2$. The answer is yes by Theorem D if infinitely many dimensions equal to a power of two support a $\mathbb{QP}^2$, but this too remains an open question.

Acknowledgements. We want to thank Matthias Kreck and Don Zagier for email communication regarding their independent work on this problem, which includes results equivalent to Theorem A stated above, as well as nonexistence results beyond the range of dimensions we considered in this paper. Moreover, whereas our work reduces the existence question to a single Diophantine equation combining the signature equation and Hattori–Stong integrality conditions, they informed us that the solubility of the signature equation itself suffices.

We also want to thank Yang Su and Jim Davis for communication about this problem. Finally, we are grateful to Sam Wagstaff for discussions on Bernoulli numbers that made possible the proof of Theorem B. The first author is supported by NSF Grant DMS-1622541.

1. Preliminaries

We consider the question of whether a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ exists in dimension n. By the graded commutativity of the cup product, the dimension n must be a multiple of four. Moreover, the second author proved that, except for dimension four, a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ can exist only if $n = 8k$ for some integer k (see [Su14]).

We first outline the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a simply connected, closed, smooth manifold realizing a prescribed rational cohomology ring. If M^{8k} is an $8k$–dimensional $\mathbb{QP}^2$, then all its rational Pontryagin classes vanish except for $p_k \in H^{4k}(M;\mathbb{Q})$ and $p_{2k} \in H^{8k}(M;\mathbb{Q})$. Hence the total $\mathcal{L}$ class can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = 1 + s_k p_k + s_{k,k} p_k^2 + s_{2k} p_{2k},$$

where the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} s_k &= \frac{2^{2k}(2^{2k-1}-1)|B_{2k}|}{(2k)!}, \\ s_{k,k} &= \frac{1}{2}(s_k^2 - s_{2k}). \end{aligned}$$

With a choice of orientation, we may assume that the signature of M is 1, then the following necessary conditions must hold true:

(1) (Hirzebruch signature equation)

$$\langle \mathcal{L}(p_k, p_{2k}), \mu \rangle = s_{k,k}\langle p_k^2, \mu\rangle + s_{2k}\langle p_{2k}, \mu\rangle = 1, \tag{1}$$

(2) (Hattori–Stong integrality condition from Ω^{SO}_{8k})

$$\langle \mathbb{Z}[e_1, e_2, \ldots]\cdot\mathcal{L}\,,\, \mu\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \tag{2}$$

(3) (Pontryagin numbers of $\mathbb{QP}^2$)

$$\langle p_k^2, \mu\rangle = x^2 \text{ and } \langle p_{2k}, \mu\rangle = y \quad \text{for some integers } x \text{ and } y \tag{3}$$

Condition (3) is a consequence of the rational cohomology ring structure of M. Since $H^*(M;\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]/\langle\alpha^3\rangle$, where α is any generator in $H^{4k}(M;\mathbb{Q})$, we may write the Pontryagin classes $p_k = a\alpha$ and $p_{2k} = b\alpha^2$ for some rational numbers a and b. By the choice of orientation, the rational intersection form of M is isomorphic to $\langle 1\rangle$ and the signature is 1, so we must have $\langle \alpha^2, \mu\rangle = r^2$ for some rational number r, then the Pontryagin numbers of M can be expressed as $\langle p_k^2, \mu\rangle = a^2r^2 = x^2$ and $\langle p_{2k}, \mu\rangle = br^2 = y$, where x and y must be integers because the Pontryagin numbers of a smooth manifold must be integers. With this substitution, the signature equation (1) can be written as

$$s_{k,k}x^2 + s_{2k}y = 1.$$

The Hattori–Stong integrality condition (2) characterizes the integral lattice in $\mathbb{Q}^{p(8k)}$ formed by all possible Pontryagin numbers of a smooth $8k$-dimensional manifold in Ω^{SO}_{8k}. The e_l classes are defined as follows. If one writes the total Pontryagin class formally as $p = \prod_i (1+x_i^2) = \prod_i (1+t_i)$, the k-th Pontryagin class can be expressed as the k-th elementary symmetric function of t_i.

$$p_k = \sigma_k(t) = \sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_k} t_{i_1}t_{i_2}\cdots t_{i_k}.$$

Consider the variable T_i that is written as a power series of t_i as follows,

$$T_i := e^{\sqrt{t_i}} + e^{-\sqrt{t_i}} - 2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2t_i^n}{(2n)!} = 2\left(\frac{t_i}{2!} + \frac{t_i^2}{4!} + \dots\right),$$

we denote the l-th elementary symmetric functions of the variable T_i as

$$e_l := \sigma_l(T) = \sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_l} T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_l}.$$

Since each e_l class can be written as a rational linear combination of monomials of the Pontryagin classes p_k, in our case of $\mathbb{QP}^2$, each e_l class can be written as a rational linear combination of p_k^2 and p_{2k}. Therefore the Hattori–Stong Integrality condition (2) can be expressed as a set of integrality conditions on the Pontryagin numbers $\langle p_k^2, \mu\rangle = x^2$ and $\langle p_{2k}, \mu\rangle = y$.

As discussed in [Su14], by the rational surgery realization theorem ([Bar76] and [Sul77]), the above necessary conditions are also the sufficient conditions for the existence of a $\mathbb{QP}^2$. More precisely, there exists a smooth closed manifold M in dimension $n = 8k$ such that $H^*(M;\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]/\langle\alpha^3\rangle$ if and only if there exist pair of integers x^2 and y which realize the Pontraygin numbers of a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ as in (3), and they satisfy the signature equation (1) and the Hattori–Stong integrality conditions in (2). So the problem is reduced to solving a system of diophantine equations, which is purely an elementary number theoretic problem.

2. Reducing the integrality conditions

In the proof of existence of 32-dimensional $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in [Su14], the second author explicitly computed the Hattori–Stong integrality condition in dimension 32, the calculation involved concretely writing each e_l class in condition (2) in terms of the Pontraygin classes p_4^2 and p_8. In this section, we simplify the Hattori–Stong integrality condition in our case of $\mathbb{QP}^2$ to a much simpler form, which works for any dimension. The necessary and sufficient conditions stated in (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent to the conditions stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *There exists a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in dimension $n = 8k$ if and only if there are integers x and y that satisfy the following conditions:*

$$\begin{cases} s_{k,k}x^2 + s_{2k}y = 1 & \text{(4a)} \\ \left(\dfrac{(-1)^{k+1}s_k}{(2k-1)!} + \dfrac{1}{2(4k-1)!}\right)x^2 - \dfrac{y}{(4k-1)!} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2] & \text{(4b)} \\ \dfrac{x^2}{[(2k-1)!]^2} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2] & \text{(4c)} \end{cases}$$

For any pair of integers x and y satisfying the above conditions, there is a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ whose Pontryagin numbers $\langle p_k^2, \mu\rangle = x^2$ and $\langle p_{2k}, \mu\rangle = y$.

Proof. Equation (4a) is the signature equation. It is suffice to show that the Hattori–Stong integrality condition stated in (2) is equivalent to condition (4c) and (4b) in our case of $\mathbb{QP}^2$. Since we assumed that $p_\omega = 0$ except p_k, p_k^2 and p_{2k}, (2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \langle e_l\cdot\mathcal{L}\,,\,\mu\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \text{ for any } l = 1,\dots,2k; & \text{(5a)} \\ \langle e_l e_m\cdot\mathcal{L}\,,\,\mu\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \text{ for any } l+m \le 2k & \text{(5b)} \end{cases}$$

In the following lemma, we calculate the e_l class in terms of the Pontryagin classes.

Lemma 2. *If $p_\omega = 0$ except p_k, p_k^2 and p_{2k},*

$$e_1 = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{(2k-1)!}\, p_k + \frac{1}{2(4k-1)!}\, p_k^2 + \frac{-1}{(4k-1)!}\, p_{2k} \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_l &= \frac{(-1)^{l+1}}{l}\left[M_l(2k)e_1 + [M_l(k) - M_l(2k)]\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{(2k-1)!}\, p_k\right] + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} e_i e_{l-i} && \text{(7a)} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{k+l}M_l(k)}{l(2k-1)!}\, p_k + \frac{(-1)^l M_l(2k)}{l(4k-1)!}\, p_{2k} + p_k^2 \ term && \text{(7b)} \end{aligned}$$

where $M_l(k) = \displaystyle\sum_{j=0}^{l-1}(-1)^j \binom{2l}{j}(l-j)^{2k}$.

Proof. For any partition $\omega = (\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_r)$, there is the monomial symmetric polynomial $m_\omega(t) = \displaystyle\sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_r} t_{i_1}^{\omega_1} t_{i_2}^{\omega_2} \cdots t_{i_r}^{\omega_r}$. Let us denote the m_l polynomial of the variable T_i by

$$m_l := m_l(T) = \sum_i T_i^l.$$

Similar to the calculation carried out in [BLLV74] page 488, we find the coefficient of p_k and p_k^2 in m_l. Let $[-]_k$ denote the degree k terms in an expression.

$$\begin{aligned} [m_l]_k = \left[\sum_i \left(e^{\sqrt{t_i}} + e^{-\sqrt{t_i}} - 2\right)^l\right]_k &= \left[\sum_i \left(e^{\sqrt{t_i}/2} - e^{-\sqrt{t_i}/2}\right)^{2l}\right]_k \\ &= \left[\sum_i \sum_{j=0}^{2l} (-1)^j \binom{2l}{j} e^{\sqrt{t_i}(l-j)}\right]_k \\ &= \sum_i \sum_{j=0}^{2l} (-1)^j \binom{2l}{j} \frac{t_i^k (l-j)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \\ &= \left[\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (-1)^j \binom{2l}{j}(l-j)^{2k}\right] \frac{2}{(2k)!} \sum_i {t_i}^k \\ &= M_l(k)\frac{2}{(2k)!} m_k(t) \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$[m_l]_k = \begin{cases} M_l(k)[m_1]_k = M_l(k)[e_1]_k & \text{if } l \le k \\ 0 & \text{if } l > k \end{cases}$$

By the Girard's formula relating the monomial symmetric function $m_k(t)$ with the elementary symmetric functions $p_i = \sigma_i(t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 = m_1 = [m_1]_k + [m_1]_{2k} &= \frac{2}{(2k)!} m_k(t) + \frac{2}{(4k)!} m_{2k}(t) \\ &= \frac{2}{(2k)!}(-1)^{k+1} k\, p_k + \frac{2}{(4k)!}(k\, p_k^2 - 2k\, p_{2k}) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{(2k-1)!}\, p_k + \frac{1}{2(4k-1)!}\, p_k^2 + \frac{-1}{(4k-1)!}\, p_{2k} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_l = [m_l]_k + [m_l]_{2k} &= M_l(k)[e_1]_k + M_l(2k)[e_1]_{2k} \\ &= M_l(2k)([e_1]_k + [e_1]_{2k}) + [M_l(k) - M_l(2k)][e_1]_k \\ &= M_l(2k)e_1 + [M_l(k) - M_l(2k)]\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{(2k-1)!}\, p_k \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Again by the Girard's formula relating the symmetric functions $m_l = m_l(T)$ and $e_i = \sigma_i(T)$,

$$m_l = (-1)^{l+1} l\, e_l + (-1)^{l+2} \frac{l}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} e_i e_{l-i} + \sum_{\ell(\omega)>2} c_\omega e_\omega.$$

Since p_k, p_k^2 and p_{2k} are the only non-trivial classes, and each class e_i can be expressed as a rational linear combination of these classes, $e_\omega = 0$ if the partition ω has length $\ell(\omega) > 2$. Then we may express

$$e_l = \frac{(-1)^{l+1}}{l} m_l + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} e_i e_{l-i},$$

which gives (7a) if we plugin (9), and (7b) if we plugin (8).

□

Plugin formula (6) for e_1, and $\langle p_k^2, \mu\rangle = x^2$, $\langle p_{2k}, \mu\rangle = y$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \langle e_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}, \mu\rangle &= \left(\dfrac{(-1)^{k+1} s_k}{(2k-1)!} + \dfrac{1}{2(4k-1)!}\right) x^2 - \dfrac{y}{(4k-1)!}. \\ \langle e_1 e_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}, \mu\rangle &= \dfrac{x^2}{[(2k-1)!]^2}. \end{cases}$$

which are (4b) and (4c) in Theorem 1. In the following two lemmas, we prove that

$$\begin{cases} \langle e_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}, \mu\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2], \\ \langle e_1 e_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}, \mu\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2]. \end{cases} \quad \text{implies} \quad \begin{cases} \langle e_l \cdot \mathcal{L}, \mu\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \text{ for any } l = 1, \ldots, 2k. \\ \langle e_l e_m \cdot \mathcal{L}, \mu\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \text{ for any } l + m \le 2k. \end{cases}$$

which will complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 3. *If $p_\omega = 0$ except p_k, p_k^2, and p_{2k},*

$$\langle e_1 e_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}, \mu\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \quad \textit{implies} \quad \langle e_l e_m \cdot \mathcal{L}, \mu\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \textit{ for any } l, m \ge 1.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2 equation (6),

$$e_1e_1\cdot\mathcal{L} = \frac{p_k^2}{[(2k-1)!]^2}.$$

By equation (7b),

$$e_le_m\cdot\mathcal{L} = \frac{(-1)^lM_l(k)}{l(2k-1)!}\frac{(-1)^mM_m(k)}{m(2k-1)!}p_k^2 = (-1)^{l+m}\frac{M_l(k)}{l}\frac{M_m(k)}{m}e_1e_1\cdot\mathcal{L}.$$

To prove the lemma, it is suffice to show that l divides $M_l(k)$ for any integer l. Recall that $M_l(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1}(-1)^j\binom{2l}{j}(l-j)^{2k}$, by the fact that $\frac{a}{\gcd(a,b)}$ divides $\binom{a}{b}$, we have l divides $\binom{2l}{j}\gcd(l,j)$ and thus $\binom{2l}{j}(l-j)$ for any $j = 0,\ldots,l-1$.

□

Lemma 4. *If $p_\omega = 0$ except p_k, p_k^2, and p_{2k},*

$$\begin{cases} \langle e_1\cdot\mathcal{L},\mu\rangle & \in & \mathbb{Z}[1/2], \\ \langle e_1e_1\cdot\mathcal{L},\mu\rangle & \in & \mathbb{Z}[1/2]. \end{cases} \quad \textit{implies} \quad \langle e_l\cdot\mathcal{L},\mu\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \textit{ for any } l \geq 1.$$

Proof. By lemma 2 equation (7a),

$$\langle e_l\cdot\mathcal{L},\mu\rangle = (-1)^{l+1}\underbrace{\frac{M_l(2k)}{l}\langle e_1\cdot\mathcal{L},\mu\rangle}_{(*1)} + (-1)^{l+k}\underbrace{\frac{M_l(k)-M_l(2k)}{l(2k-1)!}\langle p_k\cdot\mathcal{L},\mu\rangle}_{(*2)} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{l-1}\underbrace{\langle e_ie_{l-i}\cdot\mathcal{L},\mu\rangle}_{(*3)}$$

For $(*1)$, since l divides $M_l(k)$, $\langle e_1\cdot\mathcal{L},\mu\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ implies $(*1) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. For $(*3)$, we note that $\langle e_1e_1\cdot\mathcal{L},\mu\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ implies $\langle e_ie_{l-i}\cdot\mathcal{L},\mu\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ by Lemma 3. For $(*2)$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_k\cdot\mathcal{L},\mu\rangle &= \frac{[M_l(k)-M_l(2k)]s_k}{l(2k-1)!}\langle p_k^2,\mu\rangle \\ &= \frac{[M_l(k)-M_l(2k)]s_k}{l}(2k-1)!\langle\frac{p_k^2}{[(2k-1)!]^2},\mu\rangle \\ &= \frac{[M_l(k)-M_l(2k)]}{l}\frac{2^{2k}(2^{2k-1}-1)|B_{2k}|}{(2k)!}(2k-1)!\langle e_1e_1\cdot\mathcal{L},\mu\rangle \\ &= \frac{[M_l(k)-M_l(2k)]}{l}\frac{2^{2k}(2^{2k-1}-1)|B_{2k}|}{2k}\langle e_1e_1\cdot\mathcal{L},\mu\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence it suffices to show that

$$\frac{[M_l(2k)-M_l(k)]|B_{2k}|}{l\cdot 2k} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{l-1}(-1)^j\binom{2l}{j}(l-j)^{2k}[(l-j)^{2k}-1]|B_{2k}|}{l\cdot 2k} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Since l divides $\binom{2l}{j}(l-j)$ for each j, this follows from Lemma 5 below, so the proof is complete. □

Lemma 5. *For any integer n and $k > 1$, $\frac{n^{2k-1}(n^{2k}-1)|B_{2k}|}{2k} \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

As stated in [MS74, Theorem B.4, page 284], by Clausen-von-Staudt, the prime factors of $denom\left(\frac{B_{2k}}{2k}\right)$ are exactly the prime factors of $denom(B_{2k})$, therefore

$$denom\left(\frac{B_{2k}}{2k}\right) = \prod_{p-1\,|\,2k} p^{\mu+1}, \tag{10}$$

where p^μ is the highest power of p that divides $2k$. By Lipschitz-Sylvester ([MS74, Lemma B.2]), $\frac{n^{2k}(n^{2k}-1)|B_{2k}|}{2k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any integer n. Then for any prime factor p of $B_{2k}/(2k)$, if $p \not| n$, $p^{\mu+1}$ must divide $n^{2k}-1$; if $p|n$ and $p \not| 2k$ ($\mu = 0$), $p^{\mu+1} = p \,|\, n^{2k-1}$ as $k > 1$; if $p|n$ and $p|2k$ ($\mu \geq 1$), when $p = 2$ and $\mu = 1$, $\mu + 1 = 2 \leq 2k-1$ as $k > 1$, when $p > 2$ or $\mu > 1$, since $\mu + 1 \leq p^\mu - 1 \leq 2k-1$, we have $p^{\mu+1} \,|\, n^{2k-1}$. □

3. Reducing to a single quadratic residue equation

It was proved in [FS16] that $\mathbb{QP}^2$ can only exist in dimension $n = 8k$ when $k = (2^a + 2^b)$ for some integers a, b due to the 2-adic order of the coefficients in the signature equation. Here we divide into two cases, $k = 2^a$ and $k = (2^a + 2^b)$ with $a \neq b$, in each case, we cancel the powers of 2 involved in each expression in Theorem 1, then after a change of variable, we plug the two integrality conditions (4b) and (4c) into the signature equation (4a), the result is equivalent to one single quadratic residue equation.

To simplify the formulas, we introduce some notations:

- $n?$: the odd part of $n!$.
- N_{2k}: the numerator of the divided Bernoulli number $\frac{|B_{2k}|}{2k}$.
- D_{2k}: the denominator of the divided Bernoulli number $\frac{|B_{2k}|}{2k}$.
- OD_{2k}: the odd part of the denominator of the divided Bernoulli number $\frac{|B_{2k}|}{2k}$.
- $\nu_2(k)$: the 2-adic order of k.
- $\mathrm{wt}(k)$: the number of ones in the binary expansion of k.

By the $e_1^2 \cdot \mathcal{L}$ condition (4c) in Theorem 1, we must have $\frac{x^2}{[(2k-1)!]^2} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. It follows that $x = (2k-1)?x_1$ for some integer x_1 that has the same parity as x. Let $z = 2y - x^2$, the signature equation (4a) can be written in terms of x_1 and z as follows:

$$(s_k(2k-1)?x_1)^2 + s_{2k}z \;\; = \;\; 2.$$

Expanding s_k and s_{2k} in terms of Bernoulli numbers, this becomes

$$\left(\frac{2^{2k}(2^{2k-1}-1)|B_{2k}|}{(2k)!}(2k-1)?x_1\right)^2 + \frac{2^{4k}(2^{4k-1}-1)|B_{4k}|}{(4k)!}z \;\; = \;\; 2 \tag{11}$$

for x_1 and z with the same parity. The next step is to cancel powers of two. We compute the 2-adic orders of the terms involved in the coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_2\left(denom\left(\frac{B_{2k}}{2k}\right)\right) &= \nu_2(k) + 2,\\
\nu_2((2k-1)!) &= 2k - \nu_2(k) - \mathrm{wt}(k) - 1,\\
\nu_2((4k-1)!) &= 4k - \nu_2(k) - \mathrm{wt}(k) - 2,
\end{aligned}$$

Using these formulas, the signature equation (11) simplifies to

$$\left[\frac{2^{\mathrm{wt}(k)-1}(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}}{OD_{2k}}x_1\right]^2+\frac{2^{\mathrm{wt}(k)-1}(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}}{(4k-1)?OD_{4k}}z=2. \tag{12}$$

Using the same variables x_1 and z, the $e_1{\cdot}\mathcal{L}$ condition (4b) can be written as

$$\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{(2k-1)!}s_k[(2k-1)?x_1]^2-\frac{1}{2(4k-1)!}z \quad\in\quad \mathbb{Z}[1/2].$$

Using the definition of s_k and canceling powers of two, we obtain an equivalent condition:

$$\frac{(-1)^{k+1}2^{2k}(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}}{2^{4k-\nu_2(k)-2\,\mathrm{wt}(k)}OD_{2k}}x_1^2-\frac{z}{2^{4k-\nu_2(k)-\mathrm{wt}(k)-1}(4k-1)?} \quad\in\quad \mathbb{Z}[1/2]. \tag{13}$$

The following lemma will be used in the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 6. *For any integer k, OD_{2k} divides $(4k-1)?$.*

Proof. By (10) in the proof of Lemma 5,

$$OD_{2k}=\prod_{\substack{p-1\,|\,2k\\ p\text{ odd prime}}}p^{\mu+1},$$

where p^μ is the highest power of p that divides $2k$. Consider the factor $p^{\mu+1}$ for some odd prime p such that $p-1$ divides $2k$. If $p\le 2k-1$ and $p\nmid k$, then $p^{\mu+1}$ equals p and divides $(2k-1)!$. If $p=2k+1$, then $p^{\mu+1}$ equals p and divides $(2k+1)$. Finally, if $p\mid k$, then $\mu\ge 1$ and $p^{\mu+1}$ divides k^2, which means it divides $(2k)(3k)$. Taken together, we have that OD_{2k} divides $(2k-1)!(2k+1)(2k)(3k)$, which divides $(4k-1)!$. Since OD_{2k} is odd, the result follows.

□

Theorem 7. *When $k=2^a$, there exists a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in dimension $n=8k$ if and only if there is integer solution x_1 and l to the quadratic residue equation*

$$a_kx_1^2\equiv c_k \pmod{b_k} \tag{14}$$

$a_k=\rho_k(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}[\rho_k(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}-2^{2k}(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}]$
where $\rho_k=1$ for all k except $\rho_k=4k+1$ when $4k+1$ is a Fermat prime.

$b_k=(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}OD_{4k}$

$c_k=2(OD_{4k})^2$

Proof. In the case that $k=2^a$, we have $\nu_2(k)=a$, $\mathrm{wt}(k)=1$, and $(-1)^{k+1}=-1$, so the $e_1{\cdot}\mathcal{L}$ condition (13) can be simplified to

$$\frac{1}{2^{4k-a-2}}\left(\frac{-2^{2k}(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}}{OD_{2k}}x_1^2-\frac{z}{(4k-1)?}\right) \quad\in\quad \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$$

for integers x_1 and z with the same parity. This is equivalent to

$$z=(4k-1)?\left(\frac{-2^{2k}(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}}{OD_{2k}}x_1^2+l\right) \tag{15}$$

for some integer l with the same parity as x_1 and z. Moreover, by Lemma 6, for any integer x_1 and l with the same parity, the above expression for z is an integer of the same parity.

In this case with $\mathrm{wt}(k) = 1$, the signature equation (12) becomes

$$\left[\frac{(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}}{OD_{2k}}x_1\right]^2 + \frac{(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}}{OD_{4k}}\frac{z}{(4k-1)?} = 2. \tag{16}$$

Plug (15) into (16) yields

$$\left[\frac{(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}}{OD_{2k}}x_1\right]^2 + \left[\frac{-2^{2k}(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}}{OD_{4k}OD_{2k}}\right]x_1^2 + \left[\frac{(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}}{OD_{4k}}\right]l = 2.$$

In this case of $k = 2^a$,

$$OD_{2k} = \prod_{\substack{p-1\,|\,2k \\ p \text{ odd prime}}} p^{\mu+1} = \prod_{\substack{p-1=2^c \\ c\le a+1}} p = \prod_{\substack{F_i \text{ is a Fermat prime} \\ F_i \le 2^{a+1}+1}} F_i.$$

Now we divide into two subcases,

• *Subcase* 1. When $k = 2^a$, and $4k+1$ is not a prime.

Note that $4k+1 = 2^{a+2}+1$ is a prime only if it is a Fermat prime. As of 2016, the only known examples of Fermat primes are $F_i = 2^{2^i}+1$ when $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, and it is known that F_i is composite for $5 \le i \le 32$. Therefore for $a \le 2^{32}-2 = 4294967294$, we fall into this subcase whenever $a \ne 0, 2, 6, 14$.

In this subcase, we have

$$OD_{4k} = OD_{2k} = \prod_{\substack{p-1\,|\,2k \\ p \text{ odd prime}}} p^{\mu+1} = \prod_{\substack{p-1=2^c \\ c\le a+1}} p$$

This simplifies equation (17) to

$$\left[(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}x_1\right]^2 - \left[2^{2k}(2^{2k-1}-1)(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{2k}N_{4k}\right]x_1^2 + \left[(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}OD_{4k}\right]l = 2\,OD_{4k}^2,$$

or written as

$$(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}\left[(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k} - 2^{2k}(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}\right]x_1^2 + \left[(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}OD_{4k}\right] = 2\,OD_{4k}^2, \tag{17}$$

which is equivalent to the quadratic residue equation stated in the theorem.

• *Subcase* 2. When $k = 2^a$, and $4k+1$ is a prime.

This is to say that $4k+1 = 2^{a+2}+1$ is a Fermat prime. As explained in subcase 1, up to $a = 2^{32}-2 = 4294967294$, we fall into this subcase only when $a = 0, 2, 6, 14$.

In this subcase, we have

$$\frac{OD_{4k}}{OD_{2k}} = 4k+1$$

This simplifies equation (17) to

$$\left[(4k+1)(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}x_1\right]^2 - \left[(4k+1)2^{2k}(2^{2k-1}-1)(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{2k}N_{4k}\right]x_1^2 + \left[(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}OD_{4k}\right]l = 2\,OD_{4k}^2, \tag{18}$$

or written as

$$(4k+1)(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}\left[(4k+1)(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k} - 2^{2k}(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}\right]x_1^2 + \left[(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}OD_{4k}\right]l = 2\,OD_{4k}^2, \tag{19}$$

which is equivalent to the quadratic residue equation stated in the theorem.

□

We now cover the case where k is not a power of two.

Theorem 8. *When $k = 2^a + 2^b$ with $a \neq b$, there exists a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in dimension $n = 8k$ if and only if there is integer solution x_1 and l to the quadratic residue equation*

$$A_k x_1^2 \equiv C_k \pmod{B_k} \tag{20}$$

$A_k = 2(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}\left(\frac{OD_{4k}}{OD_{2k}}\right)\left[(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}\left(\frac{OD_{4k}}{OD_{2k}}\right) + (-1)^{k+1}2^{2k}(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}\right]$
$B_k = (2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}OD_{4k}$
$C_k = OD_{4k}^2$

Proof. In the case that $k = 2^a + 2^b$ with $a \neq b$, we have $\mathrm{wt}(k) = 2$, and $\nu_2(k) = min\{a, b\} = a$ WLOG, so the $e_1{\cdot}\mathcal{L}$ condition (13) can be simplified to

$$\frac{1}{2^{4k-a-3}}\left(\frac{2{\cdot}(-1)^{k+1}2^{2k}(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}}{OD_{2k}}x_1^2 - \frac{z}{(4k-1)?}\right) \quad \in \quad \mathbb{Z}[1/2].$$

for integers x_1 and z with the same parity. This is equivalent to

$$z = (4k-1)?\left[\frac{(-1)^{k+1}2^{2k+1}(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}}{OD_{2k}}x_1^2 + l\right] \tag{21}$$

for some integer l with the same parity as x_1 and z. Again by Lemma 6, the above expression for z is an integer for any integer x_1 and l.

In this case with $\mathrm{wt}(k) = 2$, the signature equation (12) becomes

$$2\left[\frac{(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}}{OD_{2k}}x_1\right]^2 + \frac{(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}}{OD_{4k}}\frac{z}{(4k-1)?} = 1, \tag{22}$$

Plug (21) into (22) yields

$$2\left[\left(\frac{OD_{4k}}{OD_{2k}}\right)(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}x_1\right]^2 + (2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}\left[\left(\frac{OD_{4k}}{OD_{2k}}\right)(-1)^{k+1}2^{2k+1}(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}x_1^2 + OD_{4k}l\right] = OD_{4k}^2 \tag{23}$$

or, equivalently,

$$2(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}\left(\frac{OD_{4k}}{OD_{2k}}\right)\left[(2^{2k-1}-1)N_{2k}\left(\frac{OD_{4k}}{OD_{2k}}\right) + (-1)^{k+1}2^{2k}(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}\right]x_1^2 + \left[(2^{4k-1}-1)N_{4k}OD_{4k}\right]l = OD_{4k}^2 \tag{24}$$

which is equivalent to the quadratic residue equation stated in the theorem.

□

4. Existence in dimensions 128 and 256

Recall that dimensions 4, 8, 16, and 32 are known to support the existence of a $\mathbb{QP}^2$. Having simplified the signature and Hattori–Stong integrality conditions to a single quadratic reciprocity condition in the previous section, we proceed to the proof that dimensions 128 and 256 also support a $\mathbb{QP}^2$.

Proof of existence in dimension 128. It suffices to prove that Equation (14) has solution when $8k = 128$, i.e., when $k = 16$. Factoring out the common divisor $3{\cdot}5{\cdot}17$ from a_k, b_k and c_k, Equation (14) is equivalent to

$$ax_1^2 \equiv c \pmod{b}$$

where $a = -1{\cdot}3{\cdot}5{\cdot}11{\cdot}37{\cdot}683{\cdot}33896719{\cdot}305065927{\cdot}2147483647{\cdot}924634548360851471 3{\cdot}3207769678921024615886694177024639918 77 = -27463817132832117694920154276951121961137200082283946111368408301586810159332432 4310525524865$,
$b = 7^2{\cdot}73{\cdot}127{\cdot}337{\cdot}92737{\cdot}649657{\cdot}1226592271{\cdot}87057315354522179184989699791727$,

and $c = 2{\cdot}3{\cdot}5{\cdot}17 = 510$.

Note by inspection that a and b are relatively prime, so it suffices to solve the equation $x_1^2 \equiv a^{-1}c \pmod{b}$. Now $a^{-1}c$ is a quadratic residue modulo b if and only if it is modulo p^r whenever p is a prime and p^r divides b. By properties of the Jacobi symbol, it suffices to prove that $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{c}{p}\right)$ for all prime factors of b, and this can be seen to hold by a case-by-case computation. □

Proof of existence in dimension 256. We need to check that Equation (14) has solution when $8k = 256$, i.e., when $k = 32$. Factoring out the common divisor $3{\cdot}5{\cdot}17$ from a_k, b_k and c_k, (14) is equivalent to

$$ax_1^2 \equiv c \pmod{b}$$

where $a = -1{\cdot}3^3{\cdot}5{\cdot}7^2{\cdot}73{\cdot}127{\cdot}337{\cdot}719{\cdot}92737{\cdot}649657{\cdot}1226592271{\cdot}3299365132081{\cdot}194971438752763723{\cdot}870573153545221791849896997917275277911103250683892802397245110018691959779194858629026701380947662852613002580977799272516567127525580599942764292666039306556160905469 3 = -3245796800826210867181076236220053216849820350407017729679439388350906623982171645195036489077966573234510214513856116408524947604172797217570533429519800433707346594538120988667847406333981354865713816390562558611647679912013092865,$

$b = 35089{\cdot}5953097{\cdot}12349588663{\cdot}1334939091153034 3{\cdot}699650556011660209777339457662147 3{\cdot}111129161679808855577847926019570761740267740571701411834604692317316873037158841057 27,$

and $c = 2{\cdot}3{\cdot}5{\cdot}17 = 510$. As in the 128–dimensional case, the proof follows by observing that a and b are relatively prime and that $a^{-1}b$ is a quadratic residue modulo b. □

5. Non-existence results in higher dimensions

So far, all the dimensions known to not support a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ were proved by obstructing the signature equation. As stated in [FS16, Lemma 3.2], one can search for an irregular prime p such that $p \equiv 5 \pmod 8$, $\nu_p(s_{2k}) > 0$ and $\nu_p(s_k) = 0$ to obstruct the signature equation in a candidate dimension of the form $n = 8k$ where $k = 2^a + 2^b$. Adopting the same idea of this Lemma, and using the more explicit necessary and sufficient condition derived in Theorem 7 and 8, we have the following proposition stating that any prime $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$ detected as a factor of the the numerator of the divided Bernoulli number is an "obstructing" prime.

Proposition 9. *If the numerator N_{4k} of $\frac{|B_{4k}|}{4k}$ has a prime factor $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$, then there does not exist any $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in dimension $n = 8k$.*

In particular, if $N_{4k} \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$, then there is no $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in dimension $8k$.

Proof. The last statement follows immediately from the first. To prove the first, we claim that a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ exists in dimension $8k$ only if two is a quadratic residue modulo N_{4k}. Indeed, when $k = 2^a$, this follows from the the necessary and sufficient conditions in Equations (17) and (18), which imply that

$$\left[\rho_k(2^{2k-1} - 1)N_{2k}x_1\right]^2 \equiv 2OD_{4k}^2 \pmod{N_{4k}} \tag{25}$$

where $\rho_k = 1$ for all k except $\rho_k = 4k + 1$ when $4k + 1$ is a Fermat prime. Similarly, when $k = 2^a + 2^b$ and $a \neq b$, the necessary and sufficient condition in (23) implies that

$$2\left[\left(\frac{OD_{4k}}{OD_{2k}}\right)(2^{2k-1} - 1)N_{2k}x_1\right]^2 \equiv OD_{4k}^2 \pmod{N_{4k}} \tag{26}$$

Since OD_{4k}^2 and N_{4k} are coprime, the claim follows.

Now if N_{4k} has a prime factor $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$, 2 is a quadratic nonresidue modulo p. Since two is a quadratic residue modulo N_{4k} only if two is a quadratic residue modulo p^r for every prime power dividing N_{4k}, 2 is also a quadratic nonresidue modulo N_{4k}. This implies that no $\mathbb{QP}^2$ exists in this dimension. □

We are ready to prove the non-existence statements included in Theorem A from the introduction.

Theorem 10 (Theorem A)**.** *There does not exist a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in dimension $n = 8k$ when $256 < n < 2^{13}$ except possibly when $n \in \{544, 1024, 2048, 4160, 4352\}$. In these special cases, the obstruction in Theorem 9 does not apply due to the unknown prime factorization of N_{4k}.*

Proof. We apply Theorem 9 to conclude the non-existence of a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in all dimensions strictly between $256 = 8(2^5)$ and $8192 = 8(2^{10})$ except for 544, 1024, 2048, 4160, and 4352. Note that the existence in dimensions less than 256 has been resolved in [Su14, FS16]. If N_{4k} itself is congruent to $\pm 3 \pmod 8$, then it must have a prime factor $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$ that divides it, and hence the non-existence of a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ follows by Theorem 9. When $N_{4k} \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 8$, we check whether N_{4k} has a prime factor $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$ from the list of irregular prime factors of the Bernoulli numerators in [Wag]. The result is summarized in Table 1.

If the column of irregular prime says "?", it indicates that we do not know whether N_{4k} has an prime factor $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$ or not. Moreover, if we try to solve the quadratic residue problem in Theorem 7 or 8 in these dimensions, the Jacobi symbol with composite modulus does not give negative answer, so it is necessary to check the the Legendre symbol modulo each prime factor of the composite modulus, which requires to know a factorization of N_{4k}. □

We now state a second obstruction that, like Theorem 9, uses p–divisibility arguments modulo irregular primes p. We thank Sam Wagstaff for pointing to us the Kummer's congruence, which can be applied to extend Proposition 9 to rule out families of dimensions by an irregular prime.

Proposition 11. *If the numerator of $\frac{|B_m|}{m}$, which is denoted by N_m, has a prime factor $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$, then for any k value such that $4k \equiv m \pmod{p-1}$, there does not exist a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in dimension $8k$.*

Proof. Suppose p is an prime factor of the numerator of $\frac{|B_m|}{m}$. By Kummer's congruence, whenever $4k \equiv m \pmod{p-1}$,

$$\frac{B_{4k}}{4k} \equiv \frac{B_m}{m} \equiv 0 \pmod p,$$

so p is also a prime factor of the numerator of $\frac{|B_{4k}|}{4k}$. If, in addition, $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$, Proposition 9 implies that no $\mathbb{QP}^2$ exists in dimension $8k$. □

Applying Proposition 11, if an irregular prime p divides N_m, then any dimension $n = 8k$ such that $4k = 4(2^a + 2^b) \equiv m \pmod{p-1}$ can be ruled out. The first irregular prime, 37, gives the following result:

Proposition 12 (Obstruction by the irregular prime 37)**.** *There does not exist any $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in any dimension of the form $n = 2^{6r}$ or $2^{6r+3} + 2^{6s+1}$ for any integer r, s.*

TABLE 1. Dimensions of the form $8k = 8(2^a + 2^b)$ with $a \geq b$ that are ruled out by Proposition 9.

(a, b)	$4k = 4(2^a + 2^b)$	$N_{4k} \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$?	$\exists$ prime factor $p \mid N_{4k}$, $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$?	there exists $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in dim $n = 8k$?
$(5, 0)$	132	Y	Y	$n = 264$, No
$(5, 1)$	136	N	29835096585483934621	272, No
$(5, 2)$	144	Y	Y	288, No
$(5, 3)$	160	Y	Y	320, No
$(5, 4)$	192	Y	Y	384, No
$(5, 5)$	256	N	67	$8(2^6) = 512$, No
$(6, 0)$	260	N	15897346573	520, No
$(6, 1)$	264	Y	Y	528, No
$(6, 2)$	272	N	?	544, ?
$(6, 3)$	288	Y	Y	576, No
$(6, 4)$	320	Y	Y	640, No
$(6, 5)$	384	Y	Y	768, No
$(6, 6)$	512	N	?	$8(2^7) = 1024$, ?
$(7, 0)$	516	Y	Y	1032, No
$(7, 1)$	520	N	67	1040, No
$(7, 2)$	528	Y	Y	1056, No
$(7, 3)$	544	N	811	1088, No
$(7, 4)$	576	Y	Y	1152, No
$(7, 5)$	640	Y	Y	1280, No
$(7, 6)$	768	Y	Y	1536, No
$(7, 7)$	1024	N	?	$8(2^8) = 2048$, ?
$(8, 0)$	1028	N	26251	2056, No
$(8, 1)$	1032	Y	Y	2064, No
$(8, 2)$	1040	N	37	2080, No
$(8, 3)$	1056	Y	Y	2112, No
$(8, 4)$	1088	N	59	2176, No
$(8, 5)$	1152	Y	Y	2304, No
$(8, 6)$	1280	Y	Y	2560, No
$(8, 7)$	1536	Y	Y	3072, No
$(8, 8)$	2048	N	37	$8(2^9) = 4096$, No
$(9, 0)$	2052	N	4349	4104, No
$(9, 1)$	2056	N	1669	4112, No
$(9, 2)$	2064	Y	Y	4128, No
$(9, 3)$	2080	N	?	4160, ?
$(9, 4)$	2112	Y	Y	4224, No
$(9, 5)$	2176	N	?	4352, ?
$(9, 6)$	2304	Y	Y	4608, No
$(9, 7)$	2560	Y	Y	5120, No
$(9, 8)$	3072	Y	Y	6144, No
$(9, 9)$	4096	N	?	$8(2^{10}) = 8192$, ?

Table 2 summarizes the non-existence results implied by Proposition 11 and the first 13 irregular primes that are congruent to $\pm 3 \pmod 8$. The following proposition collects the nonexistence results for the case $k = 2^a$ given by the irregular prime $p = 37, 67, 101, 59, 389, 347$ respectively.

Proposition 13 (Obstruction to the case $n = 2^a$ by the first few irregular primes)**.** *There does not exist any $\mathbb{QP}^2$ any dimension of the form $n = 2^{6r}$, 2^{10r+9}, 2^{20r+16}, 2^{28r+27}, 2^{48r+20}, or $2^{172r+137}$ for any integer r.*

Remark 14. Note that Proposition 11 confirms infinitely many powers of two that do not support a $\mathbb{QP}^2$. However in general, the modulus involved in the family of obstructed dimensions gets bigger when the irregular prime gets larger, so the fraction of candidate dimensions we can rule out gets smaller.

TABLE 2. dimensions ruled out by Proposition 11

irregular prime $p \mid N_m$, $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$	(a,b) such that $4k = 4(2^a + 2^b) \equiv m \pmod{p-1}$	there does not exist a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in dim $n = 8k$ $(n > 256)$
$37 \mid N_{32}$	$(a,b) \equiv (2,2);(0,4) \pmod 6$	$n = 8(2^6 + 2^4) = 640$; $8(2^8 + 2^8) = 8(2^9) = 4096$; $\cdots$
$59 \mid N_{44}$	$(24,24); (0,23); (1,10); (2,12);$ $(3,5); (4,8); (6,22); (7,14);$ $(9,17); (11,26); (13,19); (15,18);$ $(16,27); (20,21) \pmod{28}$	$8(2^3 + 2^5) = 320$; $8(2^4 + 2^8) = 2176$; $\cdots$
$67 \mid N_{58}$	$(5,5);(1,7) \pmod{10}$	$8(2^5 + 2^5) = 512$; $8(2^1 + 2^7) = 1040$; $\cdots$
$101 \mid N_{68}$	$(12,12); (0,4); (2,19);$ $(3,14); (6,7); (8,16);$ $(10,15); (11,18) \pmod{20}$	$8(2^6 + 2^7) = 1536$; $8(2^{12} + 2^{12}) = 8(2^{13})$; $\cdots$
$131 \mid N_{22}$	no such (a,b)	
$149 \mid N_{130}$	no such (a,b)	
$157 \mid N_{62}$ and N_{110}	no such (a,b)	
$283 \mid N_{20}$	$(0,2); (4,40); (14,22);$ $(16,30); (18,34); (24,42) \pmod{46}$	$8(2^{14} + 2^{22})$; $8(2^{16} + 2^{30})$; $\cdots$
$293 \mid N_{156}$	$(1,8) \pmod 9$	$8(2^1 + 2^8) = 2064$; $8(2^8 + 2^{10}) = 10240$; $\cdots$
$307 \mid N_{88}$	no such (a,b)	
$347 \mid N_{280}$	$(134,134); (0,47);$ $(26,141); \cdots \pmod{172}$	$8(2^0 + 2^{47})$; $8(2^{134} + 2^{134}) = 8(2^{135})$; $\cdots$
$379 \mid N_{174}$	$(2,9);(3,14); (8,15) \pmod{18}$	$8(2^2 + 2^9) = 4128$; $8(2^3 + 2^{14}) = 131136$; $\cdots$
$389 \mid N_{200}$	$(17,17); (1,23); (3,39); (8,45);$ $(10,26); (13,20); (16,35); (19,42);$ $(28,31); (36,41) \pmod{48}$	$8(2^{17} + 2^{17}) = 8(2^{18})$; $8(2^1 + 2^{23})$; $\cdots$

6. SPIN $\mathbb{QP}^2$

As studied in [FS16], if a smooth manifold M is a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ that admits a spin structure, the following conditions must hold true:

(1') (Hirzebruch signature equation) $\langle \mathcal{L}(p_k, p_{2k}), \mu \rangle = s_{k,k} \langle p_k^2, \mu \rangle + s_{2k} \langle p_{2k}, \mu \rangle = 1$,

(2') (Stong integrality condition from Ω_{8k}^{Spin})

$$\langle \mathbb{Z}[e_1,\, e_2, \ldots] \cdot \hat{A}\,,\, \mu \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{27}$$

(3') (Pontryagin numbers of $\mathbb{QP}^2$)

$$\langle p_k^2, \mu \rangle = x^2 \text{ and } \langle p_{2k}, \mu \rangle = y \quad \text{for some integers } x \text{ and } y$$

Condition (2') characterizes the integral lattice in $\mathbb{Q}^{p(8k)}$ formed by all possible Pontryagin numbers of smooth $8k$-dim Spin manifolds. The total $\hat{A}$ class can be written as

$$\hat{A} = 1 + a_k p_k + a_{k,k} p_k^2 + a_{2k} p_{2k},$$

where the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= \frac{-|B_{2k}|}{2(2k)!} \\ a_{k,k} &= \frac{1}{2}(a_k^2 - a_{2k}). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the smooth case, the signature equation (1') and the spin integrality condition (2') together can be written as a set of integrality conditions on the Pontryagin numbers $x^2 = \langle p_k^2, \mu \rangle$ and $y = \langle p_{2k}, \mu \rangle$. In [FS16], it was shown that there is no solution to (1') and (2') together in dimension 32, which proved the nonexistence of spin structure on any 32 dimensional $\mathbb{QP}^2$. Now we prove the following theorem, a special case of which asserts the nonexistence of Spin $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in any dimension greater than 16.

Theorem 15. *Let M^{8k} be a simply connected closed smooth manifold that admits a spin structure. Assume all Pontryagin numbers of M vanish except possibly for $\xi = p_k^2[M]$ and $y = p_{2k}[M]$. If the signature $\sigma = \sigma(M)$ is nonzero, then*

$$\nu_2(2\sigma) \geq 4k - 2\nu_2(k) - 5.$$

In our case of Spin $\mathbb{QP}^2$, the dimension is either four or of the form $8k$. Since a 4–dimensional Spin manifold must have even intersection form, $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in dimension four can not be Spin. For dimensions $8k$, Theorem 15 applies. Since the signature is 1, we have the estimate

$$1 \geq 4k - 2\nu_2(k) - 5 \geq 4k - 2\log_2(k) - 5,$$

which is contradiction unless $k \in \{1, 2\}$. Hence the following is immediate.

Corollary 16 (Theorem C)**.** *A $\mathbb{QP}^2$ admitting a Spin structure can only exist in dimensions* 8 *and* 16*, i.e., the dimensions of $\mathbb{HP}^2$ and $\mathbb{OP}^2$.*

Proof of Theorem 15. Assume that such a manifold exists. Its Pontryagin numbers $\xi = p_k^2[M]$ and $y = p_{2k}[M]$ satisfy the signature equation, the $\hat{A}$ genus condition, and the $e_1 e_1 \cdot \hat{A}$ condition. Hence

$$\begin{cases} \langle \mathcal{L}, \mu \rangle = s_{k,k}\xi + s_{2k} y = \sigma. & \text{(28a)} \\ \langle \hat{A}, \mu \rangle = a_{k,k}\xi + a_{2k} y \in \mathbb{Z}. & \text{(28b)} \\ \langle e_1 e_1 \cdot \hat{A}, \mu \rangle = \dfrac{\xi}{[(2k-1)!]^2} \in \mathbb{Z}. & \text{(28c)} \end{cases}$$

By (28c), $\xi = [(2k-1)!]^2 \xi_1$ for some integer ξ_1. Let $z = 2y - \xi$. The signature equation (28a) and the $\hat{A}$ genus condition (28b) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} [s_k (2k-1)!]^2 \xi_1 + s_{2k} z &= 2\sigma \\ [a_k (2k-1)!]^2 \xi_1 + a_{2k} z &= 2m \end{aligned}$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using the fact that $s_{2k} = -2^{4k+1}(2^{4k-1} - 1)a_{2k}$, we use the second equation to eliminate z in the first equation. This yields, after simplification,

$$2^{4k+1} [(2k-1)! a_k]^2 (2^{2k} - 1)^2 \xi_1 - 2^{4k+2}(2^{4k-1} - 1)m = 2\sigma.$$

Computing ν_2 of each of the two summands on the left-hand side yields

$$4k - 5 - 2\nu_2(k) + \nu_2(\xi_1)$$

and $4k + 2 + \nu_2(m)$. Both of these are at least $4k - 5 - 2\nu_2(k)$, so

$$\nu_2(2\sigma) \geq 4k - 5 - 2\nu_2(k),$$

as claimed. □

7. Existence of rational projective spaces

Generalizing the notion of rational projective plane, a simply connected closed smooth manifold M is called a rational projective space if $H^*(M;\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]/\langle\alpha^{n+1}\rangle, n \geq 1$. We let $\mathbb{QP}^n_d$ denote a (nd)–dimensional rational projective space where d is the degree of the generator. In [FS16], it was shown that higher dimensional analogues of rational Cayley planes, i.e., $\mathbb{QP}^n_8$ for $n > 2$, exist in dimension $8n$ whenever n is odd. We prove the following theorem that extends existence results on rational projective plane to rational projective spaces.

Theorem (Theorem D)**.** *If a $\mathbb{QP}^2_{4k}$ exists, then a $\mathbb{QP}^{2m}_{4k/m}$ exists whenever $4k/m \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Assume m is an integer such that $4k/m$ is an even integer. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the $8k$-dimensional rational graded commutative algebra $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]/\langle\alpha^{2m+1}\rangle$ where $|\alpha| = 4k/m$. Note that $\mathcal{A}$ is realizable as a cohomology ring only if the degree of the generator $|\alpha| = 4k/m$ is even. By the Sullivan-Barge rational surgery realization theorem, there exists a $8k$-dimensional closed smooth manifold M such that $H^*(M;\mathbb{Q}) = \mathcal{A}$ if and only if there exist choices of cohomology classes $p_i \in H^{4i}(X;\mathbb{Q})$ for $i = 1, \dots 2k$, where X is a $\mathbb{Q}$-local space carrying the desired rational cohomology data such that $H^*(X;\mathbb{Q}) = H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathcal{A}$; and a choice of fundamental class $\mu \in H_{8k}(X;\mathbb{Q})$, such that the pairs $\langle p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_r}, \mu\rangle, i_1 + \cdots + i_r = 2k$ are integers that satisfy

(i) The signature equation that $\langle\mathcal{L}(p_1, \dots, p_{2k}), \mu\rangle = 1$
(ii) The Hattori–Stong integrality conditions that $\langle\mathbb{Z}[e_1, e_2, \dots]\cdot\mathcal{L}\,, \mu\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$.
(iii) The rational intersection form $\langle\cdot \cup \cdot, \mu\rangle$ is isomorphic to $\langle 1\rangle$.

If we let the choice of cohomology classes be $p_i = 0$ except p_k and p_k^2, condition (i) and (ii) become exactly the same as the corresponding conditions to realize a $\mathbb{QP}^2$, which are stated as (1) and (2) in section 1. Moreover, the substitution stated in (3) in the $\mathbb{QP}^2$ case still holds true here. By the desired rational cohomology ring $\mathcal{A}$, any choice of cohomology classes p_k and p_{2k} can be written as $p_k = a\alpha^m$ and $p_{2k} = b\alpha^{2m}$ for some rational numbers a and b. Under a choice of orientation, (iii) requires the rational intersection form with respect to μ to be isomorphic to $\langle 1\rangle$ and the signature is 1, so the choice of μ must satisfy $\langle\alpha^{2m}, \mu\rangle = r^2$ for some rational number r, therefore we may still express the pairs $\langle p_k^2, \mu\rangle = a^2r^2 = x^2$ and $\langle p_{2k}, \mu\rangle = br^2 = y$, where x and y must be integers. So under such choice of having all $p_i = 0$ except p_k and p_k^2, the sufficient conditions to realize a $\mathbb{QP}^2_{4k}$ in dimension $8k$ are also the sufficient conditions to realize a $\mathbb{QP}^{2m}_{4k/m}$ in dimension $8k$. □

As an application of this theorem, combined with the the existence of a $\mathbb{QP}^2$ in dimensions 32, 128, and 256, we have the following existence results of rational projective spaces.

Corollary 17. *Each of the following manifolds exists.*

I. Higher dimensional analogues, $\mathbb{QP}^n_8$ *for* $n \in \{4, 16, 32\}$*, of rational Cayley planes.*
II. Higher dimensional analogues $\mathbb{QP}^8_{16}$ *and* $\mathbb{QP}^{16}_{16}$ *of the* 32*–dimensional* $\mathbb{QP}^2$*.*
III. Manifolds $\mathbb{QP}^4_{32}$ *and* $\mathbb{QP}^8_{32}$*, despite the fact that no rational projective plane exists with generator in degree* 32*.*
IV. Manifold $\mathbb{QP}^4_{64}$*.*

Remark 18. Note that a dimension not supporting a $\mathbb{QP}^2_{4k}$ is not necessarily one that does not support a $\mathbb{QP}^{2m}_{4k/m}$. The sufficient conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) in the proof above might be realized by choices of cohomology classes with nonzero p_i other than p_k and p_{2k}.

References

[Ada60] J.F. Adams. On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one. *Ann. of Math.*, 72(1):20–104, 1960.

[Bar76] J. Barge. Structures différentiables sur les types d'homotopie rationnelle simplement connexes. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 9(4):469–501, 1976.

[BLLV74] J. Barge, J. Lannes, F. Latour, and P. Vogel. Λ-sphères. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 7:463–505 (1975), 1974.

[FS16] J. Fowler and Z. Su. Smooth manifolds with prescribed rational cohomology ring. *Geom. Dedicata*, 182:215–232, 2016.

[Liu62] A. Liulevicius. The factorization of cyclic reduced powers by secondary cohomology operations. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 42, 1962.

[MS74] J.W. Milnor and J.D. Stasheff. *Characteristic classes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76.

[Su14] Z. Su. Rational analogs of projective planes. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 14:421–438, 2014.

[Sul77] D. Sullivan. Infinitesimal computations in topology. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (47):269–331 (1978), 1977.

[SY61] N. Shimada and T. Yamanoshita. On triviality of the mod p Hopf invariant. *Jpn. J. Math.*, 31:1–25, 1961.

[Wag] S. Wagstaff. List of prime factors of the absolute values of the bernoulli numerators. `http://homes.cerias.purdue.edu/~ssw/bernoulli/bnum`. 2013-06-15.

Department of Mathematics, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019
E-mail address: `kennard@ou.edu`
URL: `www.math.ou.edu/~kennard`

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47408
E-mail address: `zhisu@indiana.edu`